

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,819	DRAKE, RONALD NEIL	
	Examiner Matthew O. Savage	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Matthew O. Savage. (3) _____.

(2) Sean R. O'Dowd. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

38, 104, 105, and 107-110

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to cancel claims 38, 105, and 107 which included limitations that were considered to be redundant of those recited in independent claim 37. Agreed to cancel claims 108 and 109 which included limitations that were considered new matter. Agreed to cancel claim 110 since the sieve element was not shown in any of the drawing Figures. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.